

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-12127-2020

Date of Decision :23.07.2020

Arshdeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amar Ashok Pathak, Addl. AG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Prayer in the petition under Section 438 of Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.48 dated 09.06.2018, registered under Sections 323, 324, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 451 and 379 of IPC added subsequently, at Police Station Begowal, District Kapurthala.

3. Learned counsel contends that vide order dated 11.05.2020, while staying the arrest of the petitioner for a period of six weeks, the petitioner was directed to appear before the learned trial Court and to move an appropriate application for regular bail with directions to the learned trial Court to consider and decide the same, in accordance with law and that pursuant to the aforementioned order, the petitioner put in appearance before the learned trial Court within the stipulated period of time and has

circumstances the instant petition has become infructuous.

4. Learned Addl. AG, Punjab confirms the petitioner having been granted regular bail by the learned trial Court in exercise of its powers under Section 437 Cr.P.C. and states that in the circumstances, the instant petition has become infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

5. In the light of the petition as noted above, the petition is disposed of as not calling for any further orders.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

July 23, 2020
'PS/Rajesh'