

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-12799 of 2019
Date of decision: 05.03.2020**

Uma Kumari and another **..Petitioners**

Vs.

State of Haryana and another **..Respondents**

CRM-M-14324 of 2019

Ajay Anand **...Petitioner**

Vs.

State of Haryana and another **...Respondents**

CRM-M-27201 of 2019

Vijay Prabhakar **..Petitioner**

Vs.

State of Haryana and another **..Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Amit Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J.(ORAL)

Vide this common order, this Court proposes to dispose of the aforesaid three petitions bearing CRM-M-12799 of 2019 titled as Uma Kumari and another Vs. State of Haryana and another, CRM-M-14324 of 2019 titled as Ajay Anand Vs. State of Haryana and another and CRM-M-27201 of 2019 titled as Vijay Prabhakar Vs. State of Haryana and

another which have been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.20, dated 16.01.2019, under Sections 498-A, 406, 377, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station NIT Faridabad.

Counsel for the petitioners contends that the matter was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court. A settlement/agreement has been arrived at between the petitioner/husband Ajay Anand and the complainant/wife Ankita Verma wherein in principle it has been decided that the parties will part ways and file a petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act.

It is further been argued that Ajay Anand-husband would pay total amount of ₹ 17 lakh towards full and final settlement as maintenance for the wife and minor daughter Nupur. In view of the fact that the matter has been settled, The amount of ₹ 2 lakh deposited in the FDR jointly in the name of Ajay Anand husband and Ankita Verma complainant pursuant to order dated 06.12.2019 passed in CRM-M-14324 of 2019 would be encashed in favour of Ankita Verma wife as the same is the part of total amount of ₹ 17 lakhs settled between the parties.

In view of the facts that the petitioners are not required for custodial interrogation and have joined the investigation, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petitions are allowed and the respective orders granting interim bail to the

petitioners are made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i) (ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

March 05, 2020
Poonam Sharma

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No