

**201-2 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
 AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.12085 of 2020
Date of Decision:21.05.2020**

KAKA @ JASWANT SINGH AND ANR. ...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA ..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Through the present petition the petitioners seek the grant of concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No.318, dated 23.11.2019, registered under Sections 148, 149, 285, 323, 324, 336, 427, 506, 201, 12-B and Section 307 (added later on) of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Cheeka, District Kaithal.

On 11.05.2020, while issuing notice of motion, this Court had directed that in the event of their arrest, subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, the petitioners shall be released on interim bail. The petitioners were further directed to join investigation as and when called upon to do so as also to abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Seeking anticipatory bail learned counsel for the petitioners submits that his clients have been falsely implicated; they are not involved in any other criminal case; no recovery is to be effected from them and that under the interim orders of this Court the petitioners have not only joined investigation but have also fully cooperated with the investigating agency.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Parveen submits that the petitioners have joined investigation; they have fully cooperated with the investigating agency; no recovery is to be affected from them; there is no other criminal case pending against them and that at this stage, the petitioners are not required by the State for further questioning.

Since the petitioners are not involved in any other criminal case; they have joined investigation and have fully cooperated with the investigating agency; no recovery is to be effected from them and at this stage, the petitioners are not required by the investigating agency for any further questioning, this Court is of the considered opinion that custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not warranted.

In view of the above, the order of this Court dated 11.05.2020, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners is made absolute.

Nothing observed herein-above shall be considered to be an expression of opinion by this Court on the merits of the case.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

May 21, 2020

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No Whether Reportable Yes/No

Jyoti-11