

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Heard through VC)

CRM-M-11976 of 2020 O&M)
Date of Decision: June 18, 2020

Dharampal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Aakash Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.297 dated 16.12.2019, under Sections 75/82 of Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015, Sections 10/12 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 and Section 3 of the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, registered at Police Station Adampur, District Hisar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner herein was taken into custody in the aforesaid FIR on 17.12.2019. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is also contended that investigation is complete, as the challan has been

presented and conclusion of trial will take sufficient time, therefore, the petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer opposes the grant of regular bail to the petitioner, however, does not dispute the fact that challan has been presented in the matter.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since, the trial is likely to take some time and in view of the facts that the petitioner herein has been in custody since 17.12.2019 and that investigation is complete, as the challan has been presented, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

However, anything observed or said by this court is only for the purpose of deciding the instant petition for grant of regular bail and the same shall have no affect on the merits of the case.

June 18, 2020

vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No