

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11973-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 06.07.2020

Sabbir

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr.R.S.Rai, Sr. Advocate with
Mr.Gautam Dutt, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr.Kamal Deep Sehra, Advocate
for the complainant.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

CRM-14054-2020

Allowed as prayed for.

CRM-14055-2020

Application is allowed. Permission is granted to place on
record the copies of Annexures R-1 and R-2.

CRM-M-11973-2020

Matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing via
WhatsApp facility in the light of the COVID-19 situation and as per
instructions.

In pursuance to the order dated 25.06.2020 passed by this
Court, learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Sandeep,
EOW, NIT Faridabad informs the Court that the petitioner herein is
involved in one more FIR and which is also relatable to the same very

transaction on the strength of which the instant FIR has been registered.

Petitioner seeks benefit of bail pending trial in FIR No.730 dated 28.09.2019 under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B, 201, 473 IPC registered at Police Station Mujessar, District Faridabad.

Counsel for the parties have been heard.

Briefly stated case of the prosecution is that land of the complainant Jyotinder Bhadana has been sold to Archana Devi and Geeta Devi on the strength of forged and fabricated documents. Apparently, two GPAs dated 30.11.2012 in favour of Samay Singh and 14.08.2015 in favour of Arun are stated to have been set up. It is on the strength of such GPAs that two sale deeds have been executed on 10.02.2016 in favour of Archana Devi and Geeta Devi.

In so far as the present petitioner is concerned, he is not alleged to be the beneficiary of the transaction. Allegations against him are that on 06.08.2018 when the ostensible owner/complainant had gone to see his plot, the petitioner along with a number of other persons were carrying weapons and were getting certain construction work done had threatened the complainant to run away.

Petitioner was arrested on 24.12.2019.

Investigation in this case is complete and the challan was presented on 20.03.2020.

During the course of hearing it has gone uncontroverted that co-accused Siri Kant, who had allegedly impersonated for the

original owner and had got prepared a GPA in favour of Arun (co-accused), has been granted the benefit of bail. Likewise, Arun (co-accused), in whose favour the GPA had been prepared and on the strength of which the sale deed had been executed, has also been granted benefit of bail. That apart, even Amit (co-accused), who was a witness to the GPA, has been granted concession of bail.

Trial is at the very initial stage and would take time to conclude.

Without making any observation on merits, petitioner is held entitled to the benefit of bail.

Petitioner be enlarged on bail on his furnishing bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial court/Duty Magistrate, Faridabad.

Disposed of.

06.07.2020
dss

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No