

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

205

CRM-M-24431-2018

Date of Decision:17.01.2020

Basarali Hanumant Reddy

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present: Mr. L.S. Chahal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabharwal, AAG, Punjab.

Respondent No.2 in person.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.63 dated 23.03.2017 under Section 354A, 354D, 506 IPC (Annexure P-1), charge-sheet dated 14.03.2018(Annexure P-3) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

Taking into consideration the nature of allegations and the fact that the complainant is a doctor by profession, this Court on November 08, 2019 has passed the following order:-

“Respondent no.2 is present in person.

Counsel for the petitioner has offered to resolve the matter by way of amicable settlement, to which respondent no.2 has no objection provided a respectable and amicable settlement is arrived at.

Accordingly, the parties are directed to appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court for amicable settlement of the matter on 06.12.2019. The petitioner shall pay a sum of Rs.25,000/- to respondent no.2 towards

litigation expenses.

List on 17.01.2020.”

Pursuant to the aforesaid order, settlement/compromise dated 05.12.2019 has been received from the Mediator.

As per settlement, petitioner has tendered his unconditional apology in the case and the apology has been accepted by the complainant. The matter has been resolved between them. Further, in terms of the settlement, petitioner was required to pay a sum of Rs.3,50,000/- to the complainant so as to compensate her. The settlement-deed/compromise dated 05.12.2019 shall form made part of the record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has handed over bank draft for a sum of Rs.3,50,000/- to respondent No.2 who is present in the Court, who has accepted the draft and has stated that she has no objection if the present petition is allowed and the proceedings pursuant to the aforesaid FIR are quashed. Photocopy of the bank draft is taken on record.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the matter having been compromised between the parties and the complainant-respondent No.2 who is present in the Court does not want to pursue the proceedings any more and the parties have accepted the terms of the settlement, the present petition is allowed and FIR No.63 dated 23.03.2017 under Section 354A, 354D, 506 IPC (Annexure P-1), charge-sheet dated 14.03.2018(Annexure P-3) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

January 17, 2020
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No