

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.108

CWP No. 7217 of 2020

DATE OF DECISION: March 20, 2020

SURJEETO

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

It is contended that a revision petition has been filed before the Financial Commissioner but the concerned official is not holding Court. The matter has been adjourned twice by order and thus, the application for grant of stay is not being considered. The warrants of possession have been issued meanwhile.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4 only.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Sehrawat, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 and waives service. Additional three copies of the complete paper book be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to file written statement.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the concerned Financial Commissioner to decide the stay application on the next date i.e. 22.04.2020. Till the decision of the aforementioned application, dispossession of the petitioner shall remain stayed.

March 20, 2020

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No