

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-11774 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 15.05.2020

Sunil and another

...Petitioner (s)

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr. Arav Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

After arguing for some time, when this Court is not satisfied on the issue of maintainability of the present petition, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks withdrawal of the present petition so as to avail the statutory remedy of approaching the Court of Sessions, as available to him under law, for grant of anticipatory bail to them. He further states that in view of pandemic Covid 19, it will be difficult for the petitioners to arrange the documents so as to put forward their case seeking anticipatory bail

before the Sessions Court and therefore, the petitioners be protected for a limited period of one week from today.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

However, in view of pandemic Covid 19, this Court finds that it will be justified if the petitioners are protected for a week only to facilitate them to approach the Sessions Court for grant of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the arrest of the petitioners is stayed for one week only.

The petitioners shall not take any undue mileage of such protection. It is also made clear that after the expiry of the period of 7 days, the protection so granted to the petitioners shall deem to have come to an end automatically.

Needless to say that the concerned Court shall not be influenced by any such observations made hereinabove if any and shall decide the anticipatory bail, if so filed by the petitioners independently in accordance with law.

May 15, 2020
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No