

111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No.2969 of 2020

Date of Decision: 20.03.2020

RADHA AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Petitioners in-person along with
Mr. Naveen Kumar, Advocate.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

By way of filing the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners seek issuance of necessary directions for the protection of their lives and personal liberties from being violated by private respondents.

Petitioners claimed themselves to be major and have contracted marriage on 16.03.2020 according to their voluntary act, but against the wishes of their family members. Petitioner No.2 is less than 21 years of age. They have relied upon some documents/photographs in the context of validity of marriage. They have also moved a representation dated 17.03.2020 (Annexure P-4) to the Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, District Kaithal and the Station House Officer, Police Station-Pundari, Kaithal, District Kaithal.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State-respondents No.1 to 3.

At this stage without meaning anything upon the allegations and without commenting upon validity of marriage vis-a-vis majority of the petitioners, I am of the view that the petitioners be relegated to approach respondent No.2 before whom they have statedly moved a representation.

Respondent No.2 shall ascertain the truth in the allegations of the petitioners and would pass appropriate order in accordance with law. Respondent No.2 would be at liberty to assess the threat perception of the petitioners and would act in accordance with law. Respondent No.2 would also be at liberty to join the petitioners or any other person acquainted with the facts of the case. Respondent No.2 shall form an independent opinion, without being influenced by any statement of fact recorded hereinabove.

It would be appreciated, if needful in deciding the representation is done by within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Petition stands disposed of.

March 20, 2020

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No