

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

114

CRM-M-11947-2020 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION: 10.07.2020

INDERBIR SINGH

... Petitioner (s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Raman Mohinder, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.464 dated 18.12.2019, under Sections 365/34 IPC, registered at Police Station Zirakpur, District Mohali.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that allegations in the FIR are that the petitioner along with other accused had abducted the son of the complainant. He, however, contends that the families of the accused and the complainant are known to each other. The son of the complainant was allegedly released from their custody on the date of abduction. The co-accused namely Lakhwinder Singh @ Gagan has been granted regular bail by the coordinate Bench of this Court in CRM-M-12830-2020 on 10.06.2020 and co-accused Satnam Singh, who is the alleged mastermind of the occurrence has also been granted bail by the coordinate Bench of this Court in CRM-M-15314-2020 on 01.07.2020. He also states that the co-accused Satnam Singh,

who had been granted bail was also involved in another case. The petitioner is in custody for about 7 months.

Learned State counsel contends that although challan has been filed on 17.02.2020 but no prosecution witness has been examined. She further contends that although it is alleged that the co-accused Satnam Singh is the mastermind but it was the petitioner who had executed the plan. She also states that the petitioner is also involved in 3 other cases.

Heard through video conferencing.

In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner, especially when the co-accused have been granted bail, the petitioner is in custody for over 7 months, the COVID-19 pandemic and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

10.07.2020.

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No