

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

PARAMJIT KAUR SAINI
2020.05.18 15:09
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

(Proceedings conducted through Video Conference)

CRM-M- 11662 of 2020

Date of Decision: 18.5.2020

Amit Kumar

---Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

---Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

**Present: Mr. Deepkaran Dalal, Advocate
for the petitioner**

Rekha Mittal, J.

Petitioner prays for grant of regular bail in FIR No. 13 dated 23.1.2020 registered at Police Station, Sushant Lok, District Gurugram, under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

Heard.

Notice of motion to the Advocate General, Haryana.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana, appears on behalf of the State of Haryana.

The allegations against the petitioner are that he identified an impostor and assisted in execution of sale deed in favour of Vibha.

Counsel for the petitioner would argue that the petitioner is in custody since 2.3.2020. On due investigation of FIR, challan has been presented in the Court. Conclusion of trial is likely to take its own time. The petitioner is a practising Advocate. There is no likelihood of his absconding.

Counsel representing the State of Haryana has strongly opposed

the application for bail. She would argue that certain other accused in the case are yet to be arrested. However, it is conceded that Challan against the present accused has been presented.

The prosecution is based upon documentary evidence, already taken into possession during investigation. Challan has been presented in the Court. There is no allegation that the accused does not have a permanent place of stay and likely to flee from process of justice, in case released on bail.

Without meaning to express any opinion on merits of the controversy, bail to the petitioner subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of Illaqa/Duty Magistrate, Gurugram. However, he shall abide by the following conditions:-

(i) He shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and

(ii) He shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.

Disposed of.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

18.5.2020

PARAMJIT

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/N