

262

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.13025 of 2019(O&M)  
Date of Decision: 29.01.2020**

**Riya Gulati**

**.....Petitioner**

**Vs**

**State of Haryana and another**

**.....Respondents**

**CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH***

Present:Mr. Vikas Saroha Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Mohit Rathee, Advocate  
for respondent No.2 and 3.

\*\*\*\*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)**

[1]. Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.174 dated 27.04.2018 registered under Section 75 of Juvenile Justice Act at Police Station NIT Faridabad, District Faridabad as well as all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise.

[2]. Vide order dated 20.03.2019, both the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements in the context of genuineness of compromise in question.

[3]. In view of report dated 22.04.2019 submitted by Judicial Magistrate First Class, Faridabad, the factum of genuineness of the compromise has been endorsed and the Court has shown its satisfaction that dispute between the parties has been resolved amicably and the compromise has been arrived at on account of their sweet will, without any threat, coercion and undue influence.

[4]. This Court is of the opinion that in view of compromise between the parties, chances of conviction of the accused are remote and there is minimal chance of the witnesses coming forward to depose in support of prosecution version. In view of remote chances of conviction, it would be appropriate to exercise discretionary power of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to put an end to the controversy for all times to come. The compromise would facilitate both the parties to live in peace and to maintain public tranquility and offence in question is personal in nature and does not involve any heinous and serious offence of any mental depravity, nor it involves any offence covered under Prevention of Corruption Act. Therefore, when possibility of conviction is remote and bleak, continuation of criminal proceedings would put the accused to oppression and prejudice. In such a situation the exercise of power to quash the proceedings would be in consonance with the

provisions of law to meet ends of justice and to prevent unnecessary continuation of proceedings which may ultimately result in some unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial.

[5]. Learned State counsel, however, objects to the aforesaid course, but in order to prevent unnecessary continuation of criminal proceedings on the ground that there are bleak chances of conviction in the case, this Court is of the opinion that it would be in fitness of things to quash the proceedings on the basis of compromise. The compromise in question is fully in consonance with the guidelines framed in **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Crl.) 543.**

[6]. Resultantly, FIR No.174 dated 27.04.2018 registered under Section 75 of Juvenile Justice Act at Police Station NIT Faridabad, District Faridabad as well as all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed.

[7]. Petition stands disposed of.

29.01.2020

Prince

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

Yes/No

Yes/No