

105

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-11778-2020
Date of decision-20.03.2020

Gaurav ...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Manoj Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.52 dated 18.02.2020 under Section 307 read with Section 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Farrukh Nagar, District Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the victim had an altercation and scuffle with co-accused-Kapil and both of them were drunk. It is contended that the petitioner is not attributed any injury which would fall within the ambit of Section 307 IPC. He prays that the petitioner be granted the concession of anticipatory bail.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel that the petitioner is specifically named in the FIR. It may be added here that the altercation between Kapil and complainant took place outside

the liquor vend where the petitioner was present. On seeing a fight between the two, he joined Kapil and gave beatings to the complainant. One of his accomplices pulled out the gun and fired a shot.

As the petitioner allegedly participated in the crime, therefore, considering the gravity of the offence, this Court does not find it to be a fit case for grant of extra ordinary concession of pre-arrest bail.

Petition is dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

20.03.2020
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No