

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M- 11482-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: March 18, 2020

Smt. Sushila Kumari and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. seeking a direction to the official respondent Nos. 2 to 4 to trace and enquire about the whereabouts of their daughter Jyoti Yadav, respondent No. 5 regarding which FIR No. 59 dated 04.02.2020 under Sections 346 IPC has already been registered at Police Station City Mahendergarh, District Mahendergarh, Haryana.

It is contended that no action has been taken by the official respondents to trace and enquire about the whereabouts of respondent No. 5, daughter of the petitioners herein, who has allegedly performed marriage with respondent No. 6 herein.

The FIR having been registered on 04.02.2020, there appears to

be no ground to interfere. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners limits his prayer that his representation Annexure P-2 be decided expeditiously. A perusal of the paper-book, however, shows that representation Annexure P-2 has been made to the Chief Minister Haryana.

In view of the above, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction that the official respondents would decide any representation if filed by the petitioners within a period of two weeks in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

March 18, 2020
seema

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No