

230
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2020.03.03 14:42
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CWP-6835-2019 (O/M)
Date of decision : 2.3.2020

Anil Kumar Sood

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

Industrial Finance Corporation of India Limited and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHARMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present:- Mr. Aalok Jagga, Advocate
for petitioner.
Mr. Somesh Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No. 1.
Mr. Sarvesh Malik, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.
Mr. Vinay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
for respondent No. 3.
Mr. Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondents No. 7 to 11.
-.- -.-

RAJIV SHARMA, J

During the pendency of litigation, certain developments have taken place which led to filing of appeal by the petitioner before Debt Recovery Appellate Tribunal (in short 'DRAT').

The DRAT is already seized of the matter. The DRAT is directed to decide the appeal filed by petitioner within a period of eight weeks from today. It is reiterated that petitioner shall be permitted to exclusively use the land other than the land on which 18 shops are situated.

Petition stands disposed of.

(RAJIV SHARMA)
JUDGE

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

2.3.2020

sjks

Whether speaking order	:	Yes	/	No
Whether reportable	:	Yes	/	No