

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23893-2013 (O&M)

Date of decision: 18.02.2020

Anuj Kuthiala

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Rakesh Bhatia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that one of the points involved in this petition is regarding jurisdiction as the property is situated in Ludhiana and the agreement to sell was also executed in Ludhiana, whereas the FIR has been registered in Jalandhar. However, learned counsel for the petitioner fairly concedes that the trial is at the fag end, therefore, he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to raise all the pleas/defence during the course of arguments before the trial Court.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

It is expected of the trial Court that it will consider the question of jurisdiction at the time of final disposal of trial.

18.02.2020

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*