

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc.-M No.11424 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision: September 22, 2020

Ranjeet Singh Pawar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Nitin Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, AAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.9 dated 04.01.2020, under Sections 306 and 149 IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station, City-2 Mansa, District Mansa. The petitioner apprehended his arrest at the hands of police in the above FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has invited the attention of the Court to the order dated 18.08.2020, whereby while issuing notice of motion to the respondent-State, the interim protection was extended to the petitioner. The said order reads as under:-

“CRM-18827-2020

Case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to the outbreak of Pandemic COVID-19.

Heard.

For the reasons, mentioned in the application, same is allowed. Main petition is preponed and taken on board today

itself.

CRM-M-11424-2020

Through this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. prayer has been made for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0009 dated 04.01.2020, registered under Sections 306 and 149 IPC, at Police Station City 2, Mansa.

Learned counsel inter alia contends that petitioner has falsely been implicated in the said FIR. Co-accused of the petitioner namely Parmod Sharma @ Bunty and Navdeep Pawar have been enlarged on interim bail by this Court vide order dated 12.02.2020, passed in CRM-M-5243 and 5731-2020 respectively.

Notice of motion for 22.09.2020.

Treating the case of petitioner on the same parity as that of his aforesaid co-accused, he is directed to join the investigation and remain present as and when called for by the Investigating Officer. In the event of arrest, petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”]

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that in deference to the said order, the petitioner submitted himself before the police and joined the investigation. According to him, the petitioner cooperated with the police authorities during the investigation and furnished requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation. He, on instructions from ASI Makhan Singh, further states that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 18.08.2020 is made absolute.

September 22, 2020
ramesh

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No