

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

114

CRWP-2673-2020

Date of decision:13.03.2020

Sumanpreet Kaur and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Ranjit Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

SUVIR SEHGAL J. (ORAL)

Petitioners have filed the present petition seeking direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to provide protection to their life and liberty from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7 .

Petitioner No.1 was married to respondent No.6 and she remained in her in-laws house for about one month. During this period, she was harassed by her in-laws including her husband, who was drug addict. Petitioner No.2 is unmarried.

The marriage of petitioner No.1 with respondent No.6 is subsisting. In view of the above, the present petition is not maintainable.

The co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 14.05.2019 passed in CRM-M-21763-2019, ***Dimple and another Versus State of Haryana and others***, in similar situation, had directed that in case the petitioners fear any threat to their life and liberty they may approach the Senior Superintendent of Police, who will consider their

request.

Accordingly, it is directed that if the petitioners approach the police authorities, they shall independently assess the threat perception, if any to their life and liberty and may provide the required protection.

However, it is also made clear that this order shall not be taken to validate the alleged relationship of the petitioners or entitle them for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any criminal proceedings, if they are found involved in the commission of any cognizable offence. In case, the petitioners have committed any offence, the law will take its own course.

With the abovesaid observations and directions, the present petition stands disposed of.

13.03.2020

pooja saini

(SUVIR SEHGAL)

JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No