

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-20868-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 15.01.2020**

Varinder Singh
Versus
..... Petitioner

State of Punjab and others
..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present : Mr. D.S.Dadwal, Advocate
for Mr. J.S.Uppal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Sharda, Senior DAG, Punjab.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (Oral)

Perusal of file shows that after institution of instant petition in the year 2016, it has been taken on board for 11 times. Every time, either adjournment was sought or case was adjourned for non-appearance of petitioner or his counsel.

Even today, learned proxy counsel for petitioner prays for adjournment on the ground that arguing counsel is in personal difficulty.

In view of above factual position, there is no justification to entertain the request for adjournment. It can safely be presumed that petitioner or his counsel is no more interested in pursuing instant petition.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.

15.01.2020
mamta

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No