

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11075-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 17.09.2020

Abhishek Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. G. C. Shahpuri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 771 dated 20.09.2019, registered under Sections 323, 324, 34 of the IPC (Sections 307 and 506 of the IPC added later on) at Police Station Panipat City, District Panipat.

The operative part of the order dated 10.06.2020, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“To come up on the date already fixed in the main case, i.e. 22.07.2020.

In view of the compromise (Annexure P-3) arrived at between the applicant/petitioner and the injured/complainant (Annexure P-3), the applicant/petitioner is entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail till the next date of hearing...”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 10.06.2020, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on telephonic instructions from ASI Jagmal Singh, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 10.06.2020, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

17.09.2020

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No