

107.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10825-2020

Date of decision: 20.03.2020

DHARAMBIR

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Amit Choudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Priyanka Sadar, AAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.06 dated 22.01.2020 registered under Sections 363, 366-A of IPC at Police Station Women, Fatehabad, District Fatehabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the victim in the case is staying with the parents of the petitioner and even in her statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C., she has not deposed against the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody from the date when the FIR was registered.

Learned State counsel is fair enough in admitting the fact that the victim has not deposed against the petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 22.01.2020 and the prosecutrix has not made any allegation in her statement

under Section 164 Cr.P.C., the culpability of the petitioner is yet to be established during the course of trial, thus, this Court deems it appropriate to admit the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

The observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

20.03.2020

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No