

**225 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16928 of 2020

Date of Decision: **27.10.2020**

Narender Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.N. SATYANARAYANA

CORAM:HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI

Present: Mr. R.K. Malik, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 and 3.

Mr. Kulwant Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

S.N. SATYANARAYANA, J.(Oral)

The applicant (petitioner herein) in O.A. No. 060/00923/2019 on the file of Central Administrative Tribunal Chandigarh Bench has come up in this writ petition impugning the order dated 13.02.2020. The grievance of the petitioner is that he is not considered for granting of 10 marks on the count that he has completed graduation. However, when the order impugned is seen at paragraph No. 7 it clearly state that when the petitioner herein appeared before the Selection Committee he has not produced degree certificate to show that he is a graduate. In the absence of the said certificate the Committee has not considered awarding 10 marks to him. The grievance of the petitioner is that if only 10 marks were to be granted to him on the ground that he is a graduate he would have

been selected to the post of Junior Coach (wrestling) in the place of respondent No.4 in this proceedings.

However, on going through the order impugned we find that no grounds are made out to demonstrate that the petitioner is a degree holder and that he has produced the degree certificate before the Selection Committee at the relevant point of time and the same is not looked into by them. Therefore, in the aforesaid facts situation we find the present writ petition does not merit consideration and the same is hereby dismissed.

[S.N. SATYANARAYANA]
JUDGE

[ARCHANA PURI]
JUDGE

October 27, 2020
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned	yes/no
Whether reportable?	yes/no