

112
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 6432 of 2020
Date of decision: 18.03.2020

Sanjay Kumar

.....Petitioner

V/s.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: - Mr. Mahir Sood, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Minderjeet Yadav, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Advocate, for respondent Nos. 3 and 5.

Sanjay Kumar, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner, a resident of the Municipal Committee, Charkhi Dadri, is that the authorities are not taking any action upon his representation dated 19.12.2019 (Annexure P-2). By the said representation, the petitioner had made certain allegations against the Vice-President of the Municipal Council, the sixth respondent herein.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 and 5, was asked to get instructions as to the status of the enquiry, if any, initiated on the strength of the petitioner's representation.

Today, Mr. Rajesh Gaur, learned counsel, would inform this Court that an enquiry has already been commenced and that it would

conclude by 09.04.2020. He would further state that necessary further action would be taken thereon as deemed appropriate.

In the light of the aforestated assurance given by Mr. Rajesh Kaur, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 and 5, and as this Court does not propose to go into the merits of the allegations made by the petitioner against the sixth respondent, there is no necessity for undertaking adjudication of the issues raised in this writ petition. In consequence, there is no necessity of putting the sixth respondent on notice or affording him an opportunity of hearing.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of taking on record the assurance given by the learned Mr. Rajesh Gaur, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 3 and 5.`

No order as to costs.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

18.03.2020

rakesh

whether speaking/non speaking

: Yes/no

whether reportable/non reportable

: Yes/no