

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(344)

CWP-9904-1996 (O&M)
Decided on: March 04, 2020.

Sh. Rulda Ram

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others.

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Vipin Sharma, Advocate
for Mr. Balram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Additional Advocate General Haryana.

-*-

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner for quashing the selection of respondent Nos. 3 to 5 made by respondent No.2 and a writ of mandamus for directing the respondent Nos.1 and 2 to appoint the petitioner.

The brief facts of the case are that the petitioner joined the office of District Malaria in the year 1985-1986 as Seasonal Swastaya Sahayak. Thereafter, for appointments of Sahayak Sawastak for the Season-1996 the respondent No.2 had sent a demand to the various Employment Exchanges and in response thereto the name of the petitioner had also been sponsored by the Employment Exchange, Raipur Rani. The interview was held on 23.05.1996 in which around 60/70 candidates appeared before the District Malaria Officer, Ambala.

After announcement of the result one Mr. Vinod Kumar-

respondent No.3 who is admittedly junior to the petitioner as per the seniority list (Annexure P-3) has been given appointment along with the respondent Nos. 4 and 5 who were also junior to the petitioner. Thereafter the respondents joined the service in the year 1996 at Raipur Rani, Kalka and Chandiaspur. In this back-drop the petitioner was ignored and he filed the present writ petition.

The appointments of the respondents under challenge is way-back of the year 1996 on contract basis, as such no case is made out after a gap of 24 years.

Dismissed.

March 04, 2020

tarun

**(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No