

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-11689-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision : 02.09.2020

Harmeet Singh and others

...PETITIONERS

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Ranjivan Singh, Advocate and
Ms. Kanika Toor, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Sunint Kaur, A.A.G., Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN J. (ORAL)

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing in the light of the pandemic COVID-19 situation and as per instructions.

This Civil writ petition under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of writ of Certiorari for quashing the tentative seniority list of Multipurpose Health Supervisors (Male) as circulated vide memo dated 05.06.2012, re-circulated vide memo dated 13.02.2015 (Annexure P-8) and quashing the provisional seniority list issued vide memo dated 13.02.2019 (Annexure P-12) of MPHS (M) as on 01.01.2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if the case of the petitioners is considered by respondent No. 2 in the light of judgment dated 18.12.2018 passed by this Court in CWP No. 23944 of 2015 titled as **Kewal Singh and others Versus State of**

Punjab and others (Annexure P-11).

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has already been handed over to learned State counsel.

Learned State counsel states that she has no objection in case the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners is granted.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- The Director, Health & Family Welfare, Punjab, Parivar Kalyan Bhawan, Sector 34-A, Chandigarh, to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners in terms of judgment dated 18.12.2018 passed by this Court in CWP No. 23944 of 2015 titled as '**Kewal Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and others**' (Annexure P-11) within eight weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of eight weeks thereafter, in accordance with law. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

02.09.2020

jyoti-II

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes	No
Yes	No