

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

121

CRWP-2518-2020.

Date of Decision: 06.03.2020.

Kewal Singh and another

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. Rajender Kumar, Advocate for petitioners.

Lalit Batra, J.(Oral)

Both the petitioners are present in the Court and are identified by their counsel.

Petitioners have sought protection of their life and liberty, *inter alia*, taking the plea that they performed the marriage with each other, whereas private respondent is not accepting their marriage and now they are apprehending danger to their life and liberty from private respondent. Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners performed marriage on 03.03.2020.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

At the asking of Court, Ms. Ashima Mor, APP, U.T. Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Complete copy of paperbook has been supplied to her.

Having considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners and perusal of record, respondent No.2-Senior

Superintendent of Police, U.T., Chandigarh, is directed to consider the representation dated 03.03.2020 (Annexure P/5) and issue necessary orders, as warranted by law, so as to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondent.

However, it is also made clear that this order shall not be taken to validate the alleged marriage of the petitioners or entitle them for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any criminal proceedings, if they are found involved in the commission of any cognizable offence. In case, the petitioners had committed any offence, the law will take its own course.

With the above said observations made and directions issued, the present petition stands disposed of.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

06.03.2020
jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No