

116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-2425-2020

Date of decision-16.03.2020

Raj Kumar and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Rajender Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Dhruv Gupta, Advocate for respondent Nos.4 to 7.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have filed this writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to official respondents to protect their life and liberty from respondent Nos.4 to 7 as they have solemnized marriage on 27.02.2020 against their wishes.

On 05.03.2020, State counsel was directed to find out the existence of alleged threat.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent Nos.4 to 7 has apprised the Court that the joint statement of private respondents has been recorded wherein it is stated that they have no objection to the alleged marriage of the petitioners. This fact is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel.

In view of the above, nothing survives in the present petition for adjudication and the petition is rendered infructuous.

Disposed off as such.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

16.03.2020

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No