

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CWP-6282 of 2020

Date of decision: 06.03.2020

Urmila Rani

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Parveen Kumar Garg, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.**

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the pay of the late husband of the petitioner has been wrongly fixed by respondent No.2 while passing an order dated 09.10.2019 (Annexure-P/8) against which, the petitioner has filed representation with respondent No.1 on 21.10.2019 (Annexure P/9).

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that despite the fact that more than three months have elapsed, no order has been passed by respondent No.1 deciding the representation dated 21.10.2019 (Annexure P/9), which is causing prejudice to the petitioner as she is getting lesser family pension than her entitlement.

Prayer of the petitioner is for issuance of a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 21.10.2019 (Annexure P/9) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on

the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner, respondents are directed to decide the representation dated 21.10.2019 (Annexure P/9) by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case after the decision, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefit, the same shall also be released to her within a period of next three months.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

06.03.2020  
*aarti*

|                                   |        |
|-----------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/non-speaking? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable?            | Yes/No |