

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-2409-2020

Date of decision: 6.3.2020

Sandeep

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI**

Present: Mr.Susheel Gautam, Advocate for the petitioner

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

The present petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of direction to respondent No.2- Commissioner, Karnal Division, Karnal to decide the application dated 13.2.2020 (Annexure P-1) for granting parole for four weeks under Section 3(1) (d) of the Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 1988 to get his child admitted in the school.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2- Commissioner, Karnal Division, Karnal to decide the application dated 13.2.2020 (Annexure P-1) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to

Mr.Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana in the Court today.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Commissioner, Karnal Division, Karnal to decide the application dated 13.2.2020 (Annexure P-1) in accordance with law within four weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of four weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

[JITENDRA CHAUHAN]
JUDGE

[ARCHANA PURI]
JUDGE

6.3.2020
gsv

Whether speaking / reasoned?	Yes	/	No
Whether reportable?	Yes	/	No