

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-10076 of 2020

Date of Decision: June 11, 2020

Aamir

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Surender Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG Haryana

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 392 dated 24.08.2019 registered under Sections 307, 395, 397 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Bilaspur, District Gurugram.

According to the FIR, the petitioner robbed Rs. 1600/- from a truck driver.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the charges have been framed against the petitioner under Sections 395 and 397 IPC. The petitioner has been in custody since 21.09.2019 and a period of 9 months has elapsed since then. Examination of PWs has not yet commenced, thus, the petitioner may be granted regular bail.

So far as the status of the trial is concerned, learned State counsel admits the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner. He, however, opposes the bail on the ground that the petitioner is accused of an earlier similar offence also.

Regarding the allegation of an earlier offence, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been granted regular bail in the said case.

In view the fact that the petitioner has been in custody for almost 9 months as well as the fact that the trial is not likely to be concluded at an early date, I deem it appropriate to grant regular bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

June 11, 2020

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No