

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.1594 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 05.03.2020**

Sudarshan Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Sushila Mittal

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr. Kuldip Sawal, Advocate for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under sub-section 5, Section 15 of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949 (*for short 'the Act'*) against the impugned order dated 07.12.2019, passed by learned Appellate Authority, Sangrur, whereby the application of the respondent/landlord for determination of mesne profit @ Rs.20,000/- per month with the direction to pay the same from the date of ejectment order till the final decision of the appeal has been decided and petitioner was directed to pay the mesne profits @ Rs.3000/- per month within one month from the date of passing of the impugned order.

After arguing for sometime, learned Counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition with liberty to vacate the shop in question within six months from today.

Prayer seems to be justified.

In view of the above, petition is dismissed as withdrawn. Petitioner/tenant shall vacate and hand over the possession of the demised shop to the respondent/landlord within six months from today. He shall regularly pay the monthly rent, already assessed, by 7th of every month.

March 05, 2020

Gagan

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>