

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.6326 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 04.02.2020

Insp. Dharampal Singh & Ors.

... Petitioners

VS.

State of Haryana & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GIRISH AGNIHOTRI

Present: Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG Haryana

Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate for respondent No.3

Dr. Vikas Mor, Advocate for respondent No.6

GIRISH AGNIHOTRI, J. (Oral)

(1) The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner Dharampal Singh and two others *inter alia*, praying for a direction to the respondents to either promote them in view of the policy instructions dated 21.11.2013 (P2) or take a decision to revert the private respondents who are allegedly low meritorious in the field of sports.

(2) Learned counsel for the petitioners at this stage submits that his contention was also noticed at the time of issuance of notice of motion on 08.03.2019. The said order reads as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to the additional affidavit filed by the Director General of Police, Haryana in COCP No.71 of 2015 in CWP No.15461 of 2014, where it was stated that promotions give as per 2013 policy were being withdrawn in view of policy dated 10.08.2016 (Annexure P-6). However, till date neither promotions of the private respondents have been withdrawn nor case of the petitioners has been considered.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to get instructions.

Copy of this petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Adjourned to 09.08.2019.

On the next date of hearing, respondents will file short reply clarifying the steps taken pursuant to the aforesaid affidavit.

08.03.2019

(RITU BAHRI)
Judge”

(3) At this stage, learned counsel submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if a direction is given to the official respondents to decide their legal notice dated 05.02.2019 (P11) in accordance with law and especially in view of the contention raised in the notice of motion order, reproduced above.

(4) Accordingly, without commenting upon the merits, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with a direction to the competent authority i.e. respondents No.1&2 to decide the petitioners’ legal notice in accordance with law within 6 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(5) Needless to say that in case the decision is given in favour of the petitioners, all the consequential benefits be released within one month from the date such decision is taken by the competent authority.

(6) The writ petition along with all the miscellaneous applications stand disposed of accordingly.

04.02.2020
Vvishal

(Girish Agnihotri)
Judge

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?
2. Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No