

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

109

CRWP-2325-2020

Date of Decision: 03.03.2020.

Bandana and another

....Petitioners.

Versus

U.T., Chandigarh and others

....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Krishan Kumar Thakur, Advocate for the petitioners.

Suvir Sehgal, J. (Oral)

Bandana and Shiv Raj, the petitioners before this Court, claim themselves to be major. They have pleaded that they have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 8 and seek protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 8. They have placed on record marriage certificate and photographs, Annexures P-3 and P-4, respectively evidencing their marriage. The petitioners have submitted a representation, Annexure P-5 to Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T., Chandigarh.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioners, Annexure P-5 and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law if any committed by them.

**(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE**

03.03.2020

komal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/ No