

231 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

SMRITI
2020.03.12 17:11
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CRM-M-9509-2020

Date of Decision: 12.03.2020.

SATDEEP SINGH @ SOURAV

... PETITIONER

vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Mikhail Kad, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S.Sewak, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

Through instant petition, the petitioner is seeking regular bail in case FIR No.31 dated 15.03.2019 under Sections 379-B/379/411/392/482 IPC and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Division No. 4 Ludhiana, District Ludhiana.

The aforesaid FIR has been registered in pursuance of secret information to the effect that the petitioner alongwith co-accused have formed a gang for committing robbery, snatching etc. Consequently, a car was apprehended, wherein, petitioner alongwith co-accused were apprehended.

It has been pointed out by learned counsel for the petitioner that a kirpan has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and similarly placed co-accused, namely, Ankush Kumar @ Ashu, from whom the kirpan was recovered and Sarandeep Singh @ Sunny Boxer, from whom the alleged stolen mobile phone was recovered, have already been granted bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide orders dated 16.12.2019 passed in CRM-M-52388-2019 and 16.10.2019 passed in CRM-M-30116-2019, respectively. Furthermore, the petitioner is in custody for the last about one year and challan has already been presented.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Swan Singh, has not disputed the factual position.

Keeping in view the fact that the case of the petitioner is at par with co-accused, who have been granted bail; he is in custody for the last about one year and conclusion of trial is likely to take some time, no useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars in the present case. Accordingly, without commenting anything on merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and petitioner is directed to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate/trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

12.03.2020
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No