

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA-1889-1991 (O&M)

Date of decision: 23.01.2020

Smt. Surinder Kaur

...Appellant

Versus

Rattan Kaur and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate,
for respondents No. 2, 4, 5, 7 to 10.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Vide order dated 31.07.2017, this Court had directed to issue fresh notice to the appellant being an old matter. The office report reveals that service could not be effected on appellant for want of complete address as in the memo of parties the address stated is Surinder Kaur, resident of Sector 20, Chandigarh.

Learned counsel for the respondents submit that even he does not have the address of the appellant or any information of LR's of respondents No. 1, 3, 6 & 11.

A perusal of the memo of parties before the trial Court as well as the lower Appellate Court also reveals that the address of the appellant given is merely resident of Sector 20, Chandigarh without there being any specific house number. In the premise, it is not possible to serve the

appellant as the respondents also are not willing to pay the publication charges. In the absence of appellant, no orders are required to implead the LRs of respondents No. 1, 3, 6 & 11.

The appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution with liberty to revive the same as and when the appellant approaches this Court.

23.01.2020

Satyawan

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

No.

Whether reportable

No.