

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.5901 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision:03.03.2020**

Anil Kumar Sangwan

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Ganesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA J.(Oral)

Petitioner has raised an innocuous prayer for issuance of a mandamus for deciding his representation appended at Annexures P-2 and P-5.

Counsel has been heard.

Pleadings on record would indicate that petitioner is primarily aggrieved of orders at Annexure P-6 dated 07.05.2018 and Annexure P-7 dated 03.07.2018 whereby while serving as PGT-Biology he stands deputed to teach classes at a particular school.

Both the orders at Annexures P-6 and P-7 have been issued by the District Education Officer concerned.

Perusal of the orders at Annexures P-6 and P-7 would *prima facie* reveal that such interim arrangement has been made keeping in view the interest/study of children.

Counsel has not been able to advert to any statutory provision which would contain a bar for the District Education Officer concerned to issue orders of such nature.

In the considered view of this court these are matters which do not call for any interference by this Court in exercise of its extraordinary writ jurisdiction.

Petition dismissed.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

March 03, 2020

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No