

CWP No. 6097 of 2020

Date of Decision: March 04, 2020

Pawan Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

Union of India and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner alleges that he was acquitted by the trial Court in a criminal case but the judgment of acquittal was challenged by the complainant by way of revision and the Revisional Court remanded the case for *de novo* trial. Again, the petitioner was acquitted and the complainant filed an appeal against the same which was allowed and the matter was remanded once again. The second order of remand has been challenged in this Court by way of a revision petition wherein the remand order has been stayed and the revision petition has been admitted. Thus, as on date there are no criminal proceedings pending against the petitioner. Yet, the passport authorities are not issuing a passport. A detailed representation dated 14.01.2014 has been made but no action has been taken thereupon.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Monica Chawla, Advocate, who is present in Court accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2. Let two copies of the paper book be supplied to her during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of order being passed filing of written statement is not necessary.

The writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to consider the pending representation dated 14.01.2014 (Annexure P-11) in accordance with law and pass a speaking order thereupon within eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Personal hearing be also granted to the petitioner.

March 04, 2020

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No