

221

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.9283 of 2020(O&M)
DATE OF DECISION:06.03.2020

Gopal

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

LALIT BATRA, J. (Oral)

Present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C is for grant of regular bail to petitioner-**Gopal** in case F.I.R. No.75 dated 14.02.2019 under Sections 342, 365, 395, 397 and 412 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act registered at Police Station Rai, District Sonipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that petitioner has no nexus whatsoever with the alleged offence of dacoity. He further submits that in terms of alleged disclosure statement of co-accused Kuldeep, petitioner has been arrayed as accused for the commission of offence punishable under Section 412 IPC. He further submits that petitioner is in custody since 25.11.2019 and he is no more required by the Police for any investigation purpose. He further submits that after completion of investigation, challan has been presented in the Court. He further urges that since trial of the case would take sufficient

time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in custody further and he may be released on bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel while opposing instant petition has vehemently argued that keeping in view seriousness of offence, petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

At this stage, without commenting anything on the merits of the case lest it may prejudice the outcome of the trial but taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is in custody since 25.11.2019; that challan has been presented against the petitioner in the Court and since trial of the case would take sufficient time to conclude, no useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner in custody further, thus, he deserves the concession of bail.

In view of above, instant petition for grant of regular bail moved by petitioner -**Gopal** is allowed and he is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, Sonipat, as the case may be.

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

06.03.2020

rimpall

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No