

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-9240-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision :20.08.2020

Sunil Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Chander Shekhar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, AAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.

2. Prayer in the petition under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.65 dated 06.06.2019, registered under Sections 457 and 380, IPC, at Police Station Baragudha, District Sirsa.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that pursuant to the order of this Court dated 04.08.2020, petitioner No.1 to 3, joined investigation on 10.08.2020, fully cooperated with the police and their custodial interrogation is not required in the case.

4. Learned AAG, Haryana, on instructions from IO, Rajesh Kumar, states that, pursuant to the order of this Court dated 04.08.2020, petitioner Nos.1 to 3, joined investigation, cooperated with the police and their custodial interrogation is not required. Learned AAG, Haryana, further states that petitioner No.4 has wrongly been added as a party in the petition for pre-arrest bail, since he was already on regular bail.

5. In view of the position as noted above, order of this Court dated 04.08.2020, is made absolute, qua petitioner Nos.1 to 3. Petitioner Nos.1 to 3, shall continue to abide by the terms and conditions contained in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.
6. In view of the statement of learned AAG, Haryana, no further orders are called for qua petitioner No.4.
7. Petition disposed of in the aforementioned terms.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

August 20, 2020
'Rajesh-PS'

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No