IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

121 **CR-1568-2020**

DATE OF DECISION: 06.03.2020

HARMINDER KUMAR @ HARVINDER KUMAR AND ANOTHER

… Petitioner (s)

Versus

REENA RANI AND ANOTHER ... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Monty Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

****

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have challenged the order dated 21.01.2020 (Annexure P-4) whereby the application preferred by the respondents for additional evidence has been allowed.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the documents, which were sought to be produced in evidence, were within the knowledge of the respondents earlier also and no cogent reason has been putforth for permitting them to allow the same at a belated stage.

Heard.

The additional evidence, which has been sought to be led by the respondents, is documentary in nature. They have sought to prove on record the partnership deed, income tax return, acknowledgments, registration certificate and audit reports with regard to the firm M/s Uttam Chand Inder Pal. The amendment appears to be in the interest of justice and it would

SWARNJIT SINGH
2020.03.06 16:23
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

enable proper adjudication of the matter. Therefore, I do not find any manifest illegality in the impugned order.

The petition stands dismissed. However, it is clarified that the petitioners shall be granted opportunity to rebut the evidence sought to be produced through additional evidence.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

06.03.2020.
SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No

SWARNJIT SINGH
2020.03.06 16:23
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document