

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-1495-2019(O&M)

Date of decision: 14.01.2020

Shri Mohinder Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Shri Rakesh Verma and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr.Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Tenants-petitioners are in revision petition against the concurrent judgments passed by the learned Rent Controller, ordering their eviction on the ground of bona fide requirement of landlord and his son, affirmed in appeal by the appellate authority. Tenants contested the petition on the ground that landlord is owner of various other properties. Both the authorities, on appreciation of evidence, has found that the shop in question is the most suitable to conduct the business, which son of the landlord wishes to open/start.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has very fairly stated that the landlord has already been taken possession of the tenanted premises in execution of the order of eviction by the landlord.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, although, made sincere attempts, however, failed to draw attention of the Court to illegality

or perversity in the orders passed.

Dismissed.

14.01.2020

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

JUDGE

Yes / No

Yes / No