

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P.No.6328 of 2020

Date of Decision:- 06.03.2020

Ajit Kumar Jain

....Petitioner

vs.

Union of India and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Paras Money Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

Inter alia submits that the order of respondent No.3 impounding the passport of the petitioner when renewal was sought, is illegal as qua the criminal case pending against the petitioner, no cognizance has been taken by a competent Court.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Alok Kumar Jain, Senior Panel Counsel, accepts notice for respondent No.1-Union of India and waives service. Mr. Arav Gupta, Advocate, accepts notice for respondent Nos. 2 and 3 and waives service. A copy each of the paper book be supplied to learned counsel for the respondents during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to file a written statement.

The petitioner has alleged that a representation dated 18.3.2019 has been submitted mentioning therein the relevant provisions of law dis-

entitling respondent No.3 from impounding the passport. He would be satisfied in case a direction is issued to the said respondent to decide the pending representation by passing a speaking order.

Thus, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide representation dated 18.3.2019 within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, after granting an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

March 06, 2020
poonam

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned **Yes**

Whether Reportable **No**