

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH***

120

CWP No.5436 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of decision:28.02.2020

Ravinder Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. Mohammad Arshad, Advocate for the petitioners.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (Oral)

Petitioners seek a Mandamus for directing the Respondent Authorities to finalize the seniority list of TGTs and Lecturers for purposes of governing promotion to the next higher post. Prayer is founded on the premise that the Respondent Department is duty bound to follow the mandate under the Mewat District School Education (Ground B) Service Rules, 2012.

Even though the prayer as afore-noticed has been raised in the petition, yet pleadings/averments made in the petition are completely lacking for this Court to infer that the petitioners herein are members of the Mewat District School Education (Group B) Service as defined under Rule 2 (i) of the 2012 Rules.

Even though during the course of arguments, learned counsel has submitted that after promulgation of the 2012 Rules, options have been invited from the employees to be allocated to Mewat cadre but on this count also, pleadings are completely deficient. There is no averment in the petition, which would throw light on the date on which the petitioners had

had exercised their options for being allocated Mewat cadre and if at all, such option had been exercised, what is the outcome thereof?

Confronted with such a situation, learned counsel seeks withdrawal of writ petition with liberty to file a petition afresh with better particulars.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

28.02.2020

Gaurav

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>No</i>