

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

209

RSA-1092-1989 (O & M)

Date of Decision: January 15, 2020

BAL RAJ SINGH

.....APPELLANT

VERSUS

HARBANS SINGH AND ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr.Gagandeep Singh Virk, Advocate for
Mr. Abhinav Aggarwal, Advocate
for the appellant(s).

None for respondents No.10 to 12.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the appellant contends that he has intimated the orders of the Court to the appellant and has sought the addresses of unserved respondents No.5 to 8 but he has not received any response from the appellant. His reminders did not evoke any response. He, therefore, pleads no instructions.

The appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

Liberty to apply.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

January 15, 2020

A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No