

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1929-2001 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 13.02.2020

KAMAL KRISHAN AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. S.K. Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Devaki Anand Sullar, AAG, Punjab.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

Learned counsel for the petitioners with reference to the reply which has been filed by the respondents states that the present writ petition qua petitioner No. 1 has been rendered infructuous as according to the reply, petitioner No. 1 has been promoted to the post of Senior Assistant and further to the post of Superintendent from the date of his junior was promoted.

As regards to petitioners No. 2 to 10, learned State counsel, on instructions from Mr. Balwinder Singh, Senior Assistant, office of DPI (SE), Punjab, informs the Court that they have been promoted to the posts of Senior Assistants as per their own turn and no person junior to them has been promoted prior to these petitioners.

In the light of the statement made by the learned State counsel, on instructions, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered

infructuous. Liberty, however, is granted to the petitioners to approach the Court, in case the factual statement made by the learned State counsel, on instructions, is found to be incorrect by way of application.

13th February, 2020
shabha

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable : *Yes/No*