

119

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.1433 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: February 28, 2020

Nonihal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Sarr Frieght Corp.

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Ms. Nupur Choudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present revision petition has been filed under Section 15(5) of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949 against the impugned order dated 14.08.2019 passed by learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Narnaul vide which application of the petitioner for assessment of provisional rent, was dismissed.

After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the petition with liberty to take recourse to the remedy of appeal in view of judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Harjit Singh Uppal** versus **Anup Bansal**, 2011 (11) SCC 672.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

Needless to say that since the petitioner was under the *bona-fide* impression that above order is revisable and has taken recourse to the remedy before this Court, therefore, the point of delay in filing the appeal be considered sympathetically.

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

February 28, 2020

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No