

117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-2197-2020
Date of decision-17.03.2020**

Pinki and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: None for the petitioners.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioners have filed this writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to official respondents to protect their life and liberty from respondent Nos.4 to 6 as they have solemnized marriage on 25.02.2020 against their wishes.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioners.

On 06.03.2020, State counsel was directed to find out the existence of alleged threat.

Learned State counsel has apprised the Court that the statements of petitioner No.1, private respondents and mother of petitioner No.1 have been recorded wherein petitioner No.1 states that she has no threat from

private respondents. Whereas in the statements of private of respondents and mother of petitioner No.1, it is stated that they have no objection to the alleged marriage of the petitioners. The copy of the statements has been produced in the Court and the same are taken on record.

In view of the above, nothing survives in the present petition for adjudication and the petition is rendered infructuous.

Disposed off as such.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

17.03.2020
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No