

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

CWP No.6055 of 2020

Date of Decision : 04.03.2020

Virender Singh

....Petitioner

v/s

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Rajinder Singh Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Although prayer in the petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for the issuance of a writ of certiorari for quashing order (Annexure P-9) dated 22.08.2019, promoting respondent Nos.3 & 4, as also for the issuance of a writ of mandamus for directing the respondents to grant promotion to the petitioner as Clerk w.e.f. 22.08.2019 along with all consequential benefits. Learned counsel states that the petitioner had filed representation (Annexure P-10) dated 01.10.2019 and served legal notice (Annexure P-12) dated 28.12.2019 in respect of the grievances, which are the subject matter of the writ petition, therefore, at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied, if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim made in the representation (Annexure P-10) dated 01.10.2019 / legal notice (Annexure P-12) dated 28.12.2019 for promotion of the petitioner to the post of Clerk w.e.f. 22.08.2019 along with consequential benefits, in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

[2] Without commenting upon the merits of the controversy or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is ***disposed of*** by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim made in the representation (Annexure P-10) dated 01.10.2019 and legal notice (Annexure P-12) dated 28.12.2019, in accordance with law, after taking into account all aspects of the matter, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

March 04, 2020
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No