

121

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**CRM-M-9008-2019 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 14.01.2020**

\*\*\*\*

Mayur Singla and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA**

Present: Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate,  
for the applicants-petitioners.

Mr. Avtar Singh Sandhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Nipun Gupta, Advocate,  
for respondent No.2-Complainant.

**SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)**

**CRM-909-2020**

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the prayer for preponement is allowed and the date of hearing in the main case is preponed to today itself.

Application stands disposed off.

**CRM-M-9008-2019**

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused in F.I.R No.12, dated 03.02.2019, under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the IPC registered at Police Station Kotwali Bathinda, District Bathinda (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings, on the basis of compromise.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Compromise (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by him. The matter was

referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bathinda, vide report dated 19.03.2019, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondent No.2 is represented by his Counsel, who does not oppose the compromise.

[4]. In view of the report of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bathinda, and in view of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R No.12, dated 03.02.2019, under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the IPC registered at Police Station Kotwali Bathinda, District Bathinda (Annexure P-1), with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed qua the present petitioners.

**14.01.2020**

*Bhumika*

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)  
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

2. Whether reportable: No