

**CRM-27907-2020 in/and  
CRM-M-8527-2020**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-27907-2020 in/and  
CRM-M-8527-2020 (O & M)  
Date of decision: 16.11.2020**

Inderjit Singh @ Lovely

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL**

Present:- Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S.Sewak, Addl. A. G. Punjab.

**HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)**

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

**CRM-27907-2020**

This is an application under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for early hearing of the petition, which is fixed for 11.01.2021.

Notice of the application.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. B.S.Sewak, Addl. A.G.Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State, and states that he has no objection, if the present application is allowed.

In view of the above, the present application is allowed and hearing in the main petition is preponed for today, itself, and taken up on Board.

**CRM-26509-2020**

Allowed as prayed for. Annexure P-3 (colly.) is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

**CRM-27907-2020 in/and  
CRM-M-8527-2020**

**CRM-M-8527-2020**

Custody certificate dated 16.11.2020 by way of affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent, Central Prison, Ludhiana, has been filed through e-mail. Print out of the same is taken on record.

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.212 dated 16.12.2016, registered at Police Station Daba, District Ludhiana, under Sections 302, 307, 364, 323, 427, 506, 201, 473, 148, 149 and 325 (added later on) of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that neither the petitioner is named in the FIR nor any role has been attributed to him; that the material witnesses have not supported the prosecution version and, therefore, co-accused, namely, Harkirat Singh @ Kala, Amandeep Singh @ Amna, Jagjit Singh @ Jagga @ Sodhi, Manjit Singh @ Jassa @ Sonu and Gagandeep Singh @ Khaira, who are stated to be named in the FIR, have already been enlarged on bail by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana.

On the other hand, learned State counsel while opposing the prayer made in the present petition submits that the petitioner was declared a proclaimed offender on 02.04.2018; that there are allegations of kidnapping and murder of Manoj Kumar @ Moji against him and other co-accused.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

In the present case, the material witnesses have not supported the prosecution version and, therefore, the aforesaid co-accused, who are stated to be specifically named in the FIR, have been enlarged on bail by the learned

**CRM-27907-2020 in/and  
CRM-M-8527-2020**

Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana. Moreover, the petitioner is not named in the FIR and he has been in custody since 20.03.2019. Trial of the case would take time to conclude. Therefore, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars.

In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits, lest it should prejudice the case of either side, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

**16.11.2020**  
parveen kumar

**(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No